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ABSTRACT. Despite continued innovations in catheter design and technique, catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation (AF) is still fraught with a few serious complications—most feared of which is stroke. Although a “classic” clinically disabling stroke is less common (about 1%) after AF ablation, many recent studies have identified an increased incidence of asymptomatic ischemic cerebral lesions known as “silent clinical lesions” (SCLs) associated with the procedure. As once thought, these new SCLs seen on post-ablation magnetic resonance imaging of the brain were not actually clinically “silent” and were shown to have significant clinical and neuropsychiatric effects on these patients in the long term. These SCLs are thought to represent the “embolic fingerprints” of the ablation procedure, suggesting involvement and the need for innovation as well as improved safety at multiple levels before, during, and after the procedure. This may require a multimodality approach involving several measures such as having better peri-procedural anticoagulation strategies, using real-time monitoring for markers of neurologic injury, giving meticulous attention to sheath management, using novel energy sources that are less thrombogenic, and finally establishing imaging protocols for timely detection of these lesions post ablation. The current literature is reviewed here to explore such opportunities to improve neurological outcomes of catheter ablation for AF.
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Introduction

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is a major health-care problem with profound economic and public health implications. A systematic review of population-based studies of AF worldwide estimates a prevalence of 33.5 million cases of AF as of 2010, with an additional 5 million new cases being reported every year.2 Radiofrequency catheter ablation (RFA) for AF is an effective therapeutic option for symptomatic drug refractory AF.3–5 However, this procedure is still riddled with the most feared complication of AF ablation: neurologic injury.

Neurologic injury during AF ablation can be devastating and irreversible. In this paper, we have reviewed current literature exploring the technologies being used to detect neurologic injury and the risk factors associated with this injury. We have also identified potential areas of intervention that could lower this risk such as 1) adopting stringent procedural safety techniques, 2) using uninterrupted warfarin or interrupted anticoagulation with novel oral anticoagulants (NOACs) for
peri-procedural anticoagulation, 3) using novel energy sources and catheters, and 4) using real-time markers for potential neurologic injury. We also highlight areas of uncertainty requiring more research or innovation.

**Silent cerebral lesions or events**

Although disabling stroke associated with AF ablation is rare (about 1% of cases), recently, silent cerebral lesions or events (SCLs/SCEs) are increasingly recognized as a more common and extremely worrisome complication of AF ablation. The incidence of SCLs/SCEs in clinical studies is estimated to be about 100 times more than symptomatic cerebral ischemia. While ischemic brain lesions on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) have been reported in up to 50% of post-AF ablation patients, SCLs/SCEs (independent of the MRI-specific definition used) have been reported only in 12.6% of them.

Haines et al. suggested that the microbubbles and microembolic debris seen in in vivo swine models may in fact represent thermal coagulum formed due to excessive heating and increased current density caused by the overlapping of the 1 and 10 electrodes of the pulmonary vein ablation catheter (PVAC). This debris could embolize during or immediately after the procedure, showing up as new lesions on the MRI done post-AF ablation. In fact, direct carotid injections of high volumes of air mixes or thrombotic debris mixes in canine models showed similar MRI findings, suggestive of SCLs/SCEs that were independent of the type of materials used.

SCL/SCE is defined as an acute new MRI-detected brain lesion typical of cerebral ischemia in a patient without any clinically apparent neurological deficits. However, it is important to recognize the heterogeneity and the lack of clear consensus among different investigators in regard to defining these SCLs/SCEs. While some groups have defined the “new MRI-detected lesion” as a hyperintense diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) lesion with reduced apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) and T2-weighted fluid-attenuated inverse recovery sequence (FLAIR) positivity, a few others have used a more sensitive definition without the FLAIR positivity. There is much controversy regarding the significance of SCLs/SCEs and their long-term consequences. In addition to the structural MRI findings, several recent studies have suggested a strong association with function, correlating SCLs/SCEs and cognitive decline, as well as dementia. There is some credence to the structural/functional correlation added by a study led by Medi et al., which showed the prevalence of post-AF ablation cognitive dysfunction being 27–28% at 24–48 hours and 13–20% at 90 days after the procedure. Interestingly, in this study the length of time of ablation within the left atrium was associated with cognitive dysfunction.

This could be reflective of more chance for coagulum to occur in the left-sided circulation and thus lead to SCLs/SCEs and/or thromboembolism.

**Imaging for neurological consequences of ablation: detecting SCLs/SCEs**

MRI of the brain: sequences, resolution, and timing

SCLs/SCEs may have significant consequences including cognitive decline and dementia. MRI imaging using a FLAIR technique can take up to 20 h to detect neurologic infarction and can take even longer for smaller infarcts. This makes it challenging for FLAIR to be useful in SCL/SCE detection immediately after AF ablation. In contrast, MRI using DWI can detect even small infarcts within minutes of their development, as this sequence is precise on picking up cellular edema (one of the early manifestations of ischemic cell injury) and shows up as hyperintense lesions on MRI. A study by Wieczorek et al. highlight the difference in timing and sequences; they have shown that only 33% of patients with DWI positive lesions had FLAIR positivity on MRI imaging done the day following ablation. Therefore, the use of DWI sequences is currently considered the cornerstone for diagnosing cerebral ischemia especially...
The size of SCLs/SCEs seen on MRI post ablation seem to vary widely in different studies, ranging from 2.5 mm to 35 mm, with more than 50% of them measuring less than 3 mm.\(^7,20,34,35\) A small proportion of the lesions seem to be larger than 10 mm and seen predominantly in the cerebellum.\(^8-11,13,14,18,21,36-38\) Although most studies reporting post-AF ablation SCLs/SCEs were performed using 1.5-Tesla MRIs, one study using a 3-Tesla high-resolution MRI reported a two to three times increase in the incidence of the lesions in patients undergoing left atrial catheter ablation independent of the respective balloon-based catheter devices. The new lesions seen in this study were not associated with cognitive decline in patients after the ablation, suggesting a mere increase in the imaging sensitivity rendered by the higher spatial resolution rather than increased cerebral embolization during the procedure.\(^9\)

The best time window to evaluate for post ablation SCLs/SCEs using MRI continues to be a hotly debated topic. Although DWI can detect lesions within 15 min of the procedure, they can also disappear in 2–4 days.\(^7\) Alternatively, FLAIR sequences may not be able to consistently detect these lesions until day 2. Further complicating the interpretation is that some studies have shown that these lesions may be attenuated over time, with no discernable patterns seen in embolic strokes. Thus, SCLs/SCEs that have been detected on MRI post ablation have been suggested to be the “embolic fingerprint” of all the steps or “missteps” that occur during a procedure due to their unique pattern and propensity for neurologic consequence. Hence these “embolic fingerprints” of a specific cardiovascular intervention\(^8,34,35,39\) could potentially be used evaluate the impact of novel ablation techniques or even modify the steps in existing procedures to improve safety and decrease their risk.\(^7\)

**Approaches to decrease the impact for neurological consequences of ablation**

**Pre-procedural measures**

Underlying arrhythmia: Sinus rhythm versus atrial fibrillation

Not surprisingly, long-standing persistent atrial fibrillation (AF) has been shown to have greater chances of having SCLs/SCEs during the course of the ablation procedure than paroxysmal atrial fibrillation or supraventricular tachycardia (SVT).\(^40\) This is logical, as the time of the procedures and amount of ablation are indeed going to be more extensive in patients with persistent AF. Furthermore, ablation for atrial fibrillation has greater chances for incidence of SCLs/SCEs than ablation for supraventricular arrhythmias.\(^40\) This might reflect the requirement of accessing the left-sided circulation in all AF ablation cases.

**Imaging**

Transesophageal echocardiography. Pre-procedural transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) is routinely performed to screen for any intracardiac thrombus, and its presence is an absolute contraindication for any cardiac ablation procedure.\(^31\) TEE is considered both a sensitive and specific imaging modality for detecting several cardiogenic or aorto-logic embolic sources.\(^45\) This imaging is critical to lower the risk of events prior to reaching the EP laboratory. More recently, in studies using TEE, a close correlation has been found between left atrial abnormalities and complex aortic arch plaques detected in neurologically asymptomatic patients who undergo AF ablation. The presence of new SCLs/SCEs on brain MRI may be suggestive of micro-embolization.\(^43\) Intuitively, there is a possibility of increased thromboembolism in patients undergoing ablation using a retro-aortic route, especially in the presence of atherosclerotic plaque in the aorta. Spontaneous echo contrast (SEC) as visualized by TEE has also been known to increase risks of intraprocedural thromboembolism in AF ablation.\(^44\) Thus, this pre-procedural screening imaging to evaluate for sources of intracardiac thrombi or aortic plaques is necessary to minimize the risk for thromboembolism.

Pre-procedural anticoagulation

Uninterrupted versus interrupted warfarin strategy. There is much controversy regarding the proper strategy for peri-procedural anticoagulation for AF ablation. There is evidence to suggest that uninterrupted warfarin therapy lessens the incidence of serious bleeds or embryonic phenomena compared to bridging with heparin.\(^45-47\) Data from a prospective study of more than 6,000 patients by Di Biase et al.\(^48\) suggested a decreased incidence of thromboembolic events in patients undergoing AF ablation with the use of an irrigated RF catheter under uninterrupted warfarin anticoagulation with a therapeutic international normalized ratio (INR) on the day of the procedure. The COMPARE trial was an open-label, randomized controlled trial of 1,584 patients, which found no significant difference in the incidence of major bleeding and pericardial effusions between patients who were continued on or were taken off warfarin prior to ablation.\(^45\) A meta-analysis by Santagendi et al.\(^49\) also supported the benefit of peri-procedural anticoagulation with warfarin, as they found this had decreased the risk of thromboembolic complications when warfarin was continued and no statistically significant risk of increased bleeding. These findings have also been examined with the use of MRI and correlated with SCLs/SCEs. The incidence of SCLs/SCEs was found to be lower in patients undergoing RFA with uninterrupted warfarin therapy. In an observational study by Di Biase et al.,\(^50\) pre- and post-procedural MRI was used to estimate the incidence of SCLs/SCEs, and the authors showed that sub-therapeutic INR before the procedure was associated with an increased risk of SCLs/SCEs compared with uninterrupted warfarin therapy with a bolus of heparin prior to transseptal puncture. The
increased risk of SCLs/SCEs was also associated with two consecutive measurements of activated clotting time (ACT) levels <300, as well as the failure to receive a loading dose of heparin.  

Anticoagulation with novel oral anticoagulants. Several studies have compared the use of novel anticoagulants during AF ablation. These studies assessed the prevention of thromboembolism and bleeding risks while using dabigatran, rivaroxaban, or apixaban. However, there are a limited number of randomized control trials comparing NOACs with uninterrupted warfarin therapy. Current studies do not suggest the benefit of any of the individual NOACs over uninterrupted warfarin therapy. Thus, the question remains whether uninterrupted NOACs are inferior to uninterrupted warfarin therapy for ablation. The risks of increased bleeding and the concern of controlling major bleeding in the event of ablation complications, remains a “sword of Damocles,” especially in the absence of any effective and approved antidotes to the NOACs.

Dabigatran: Some studies suggested similar risks of symptomatic thromboembolism and bleeding with dabigatran use versus uninterrupted warfarin. Other studies have suggested an increased risk of bleeding and increased incidence of SCLs/SCEs. In a prospective observational study by Lakkireddy et al., patients who were on peri-procedural dabigatran for AF ablation (dose held on the morning of the procedure) were compared with matched patients undergoing AF ablation with uninterrupted warfarin therapy. There was no statistically significant difference in the incidence of the thromboembolic events found between the two groups. However, the data suggested a significant increase in the rates of major bleeding in the dabigatran group. Several other studies suggested that there was no significant difference in thromboembolic events or incidence of major bleeding between warfarin and dabigatran groups and this was further corroborated by subsequent meta-analyses. Two observational studies focused on the rates of SCLs/SCEs in patients treated with dabigatran versus uninterrupted warfarin and showed increased incidence of SCLs/SCEs detected on MRI in the dabigatran group compared with patients on warfarin with statistically significant increased risk of hemopericardium treated with pericardiocentesis in one of the studies.

Rivaroxaban: Uninterrupted rivaroxaban use was found to have similar outcomes to those of uninterrupted warfarin in terms of symptomatic thromboembolism, bleeding risk, and incidence of SCLs/SCEs. Two separate studies found no statistically significant difference in the incidence of embolic events or major bleeding complications between uninterrupted rivaroxaban and uninterrupted warfarin in patients undergoing AF ablation. Two separate meta-analyses showed no statistically significant difference in the risk of thromboembolism or incidence of major bleeding in patients on uninterrupted rivaroxaban compared with uninterrupted vitamin K antagonists. Nakamura et al. assessed the risk of SCLs/SCEs when using rivaroxaban compared with other anticoagulants but did not find an increased risk of SCLs/SCEs on multivariate analysis when rivaroxaban was used (p = 0.324).

Apixaban: Apixaban has similar rates of thromboembolism and SCLs/SCEs to uninterrupted warfarin use. In a prospective observational study by Di Biase et al., where the authors compared patients with uninterrupted apixaban use to those with uninterrupted warfarin therapy, no symptomatic thromboembolic complications or SCLs/SCEs on MRI occurred in either group. In addition, the rates of major and minor complications were comparable. Another randomized controlled trial where patients were randomized to uninterrupted warfarin versus uninterrupted apixaban with MRI performed in all patients before and after ablation showed similar rates of SCLs/SCEs and other complications in both groups. Moreover, in the multivariate analysis by Nakamura et al., there was no statistically significantly increased risk of SCLs/SCEs when using apixaban (p = 0.090).

In summary, there is clear benefit from uninterrupted anticoagulation with warfarin in reducing the risk of thromboembolism. Novel anticoagulants have similar outcomes on symptomatic thromboembolism to that of warfarin. Apixaban and rivaroxaban in particular have evidence suggesting similar risk of SCLs/SCEs to that of warfarin. Current evidence suggests that an increased incidence of SCLs/SCEs is found when dabigatran is used. More randomized controlled trials are needed to assess the risk of SCLs/SCEs when using NOACs, and more uniform protocols for peri-procedural NOAC management should be adopted to allow for cross comparison of studies.

Intraprocedural measures

Imaging

Real-time monitoring using transcranial Doppler (TCD) and intracardiac echocardiogram (ICE) may provide an inherent advantage of titrating RF energy during the procedure to reduce ablation and re-assess ACT. In contrast, MRI is limited as this is only performed after the procedure when the damage has already been done. These imaging modalities may be important in identifying small thrombi around the implanted leads or the prosthetic devices and develop innovative strategies to prevent thromboembolism in real time. This may in turn reduce the risk of SCLs/SCEs during AF ablation and improve the long-term outcomes associated with it.

Transcranial Doppler. TCD is a well-established technique that has been used in a variety of clinical settings to detect microembolic signals (MESs) in the cerebral circulation. It could be used to detect MESs of both gaseous and solid nature, and hence has been used successfully in many studies for monitoring cerebral MESs during different types of endocardial and epicardial ablation procedures (Figure 2). Monitoring the MES count with TCD may even be used to gauge SCL/SCE risk during an ablation procedure. This would have major ramifications on real-time reduction in embolic risk during procedures. However, it is important to note that several studies have used different identification criteria for embolic signals detected by TCD leading to some differences in reported frequencies of embolic signals. Importantly, this has led to the development of criteria by different consensus committees to bring uniformity to these measurements. Dittrich et al. showed that standardized MES readings by TCD can be used as a robust and a surrogate marker in clinical trials as long as independent quality control mechanisms are in place.

Intracardiac echocardiography. In addition to prophylactic imaging prior to the actual procedure, ICE also provides real-time feedback regarding lesion formation and potential information regarding microbubble formation. Excessive tissue heating to the point of steam formation during RF ablation can lead to microbubble formation (Figure 3). Kilicaslan et al. and Nagy-Baló et al. showed that there was a close correlation between the number of MESs detected during the ablation procedure and the degree of microbubble formation seen on ICE (Figure 4). In addition, studies have suggested an increased intensity antiocoagulation strategy
Figure 2: Transcranial measurement of cerebral microembolic signals during endocardial pulmonary vein isolation. Comparison of three different ablation techniques. Reproduced with permission from the Journal of Cardiovascular Electrophysiology. 74

Figure 3: A brisk shower of microbubbles during delivery of radiofrequency energy with an 8-mm-tip ablation catheter along the inferior border of the LIPV ostium. The microbubbles originate for the catheter tissue interface and spread into the LA cavity. In this situation, radiofrequency energy is immediately terminated. LIPV: left inferior pulmonary vein; LA: left atrial. Reproduced with permission from Europace. 125

Figure 4: Representative pictures of transcranial Doppler microembolic signals (MESs) and intracardiac echocardiography images. FEW depicts a typical MES (top left) for scattered, non-continuous microbubbles (top right). SHOWER depicts a typical MES (bottom left) for dense, continuous microbubbles (bottom right). Reproduced with permission from the Journal of Cardiovascular Electrophysiology. 76
The non-return valve at the end of the sheath is mainly to prevent the risk of left atrial (LA) thrombus formation. More data on the outcomes of this intervention are needed. Marrouche et al. showed improved long-term outcomes and reduced risk of pulmonary vein stenosis in patients undergoing cooled tip pulmonary vein isolation when direct visualization of lesion formation and energy delivery monitoring of microbubble formation was done with ICE. In this study, no stroke or TIA was detected using this strategy, whereas there was a 3% incidence in patients undergoing ablation without ICE guidance. This speaks to the importance of active ICE use during ablation procedures.

Intraprocedural anticoagulation. Guidelines indicate the need for anticoagulation in the immediate post-sheath insertion period prior to the beginning of transseptal puncture. In a recent analysis, it was shown that higher doses of heparin are needed to achieve therapeutic levels of anticoagulation with NOACs compared with warfarin. Typical doses of heparin needed are between 100 and 120 units/kg and knowing this difference would help in achieving ACT values prior to the transseptal puncture. Monitoring ACT values should be done every 15 min at the start of the procedure and carried on every 30 min after the achievement of therapeutic levels of anticoagulation.

Sheath management. Long sheaths are frequently used for ablation procedures and are the perfect reservoir for blood to stagnate and form linear thrombi. In addition, removal and introduction of catheters into and out of sheaths offers an opportunity to introduce air and are thus ripe for embolic events. Furthermore, flushing of these sheaths also can cause air to be flushed into the circulation. We feel that excellent laboratory practice should involve the following:

i. Introduction of sheaths over wires carefully for easy maneuverability of the wire during introduction to avoid endothelial damage by scraping vessel walls.
ii. When removing the dilator and wire from the sheath, meticulous attention should be paid to slowly remove these to avoid the creation of vacuum and risk pulling air into the circulation.
iii. Flushing of the sheath should be done with due cognizance of the patients’ breathing.
   a. In intubated patients, opening the sheaths to air allows blood to flush out all the air in the system by virtue of positive intrathoracic pressure.
   b. In patients breathing spontaneously, it is important to cover the hub of the sheath, use a syringe to pull out air from the sheath and then use tubing carrying heparinized saline to flush the sheath. It is very important to ensure that the sheath is closed to the atmosphere as the patient may pull in air through the sheath by virtue of spontaneous breaths.
*However, maintaining an airtight circuit irrespective of mechanical ventilation should be done in an effort to standardize this procedure in laboratory practice.
**The non-return valve at the end of the sheath is mainly to prevent the blood from bleeding back and is not airtight.

The tubing thus needs to be pre-flushed and made free of air bubbles before the procedure. The connection to the sheath side arm also needs to be tapped or agitated in order to dislodge any air bubbles that may be trapped.

iv. Long sheaths should be flushed with heparinized saline for the duration of the procedure at 2 ml/min or higher rates during the introduction of catheters or their removal.
v. Long sheaths should never be left without catheters in them, especially within the left atrium during AF procedures. This can predispose to thrombus formation, which can easily embolize when a subsequent catheter is introduced.
vi. Prior suctioning the sheaths to ensure the absence of thrombus should also accompany removal of sheaths.

Transseptal puncture. Meticulous attention to detail and frequent checks are required to prevent introduction of embolic material during this procedure. In the presence of visible calcification on the inter-atrial septum on fluoroscopy, trans-septal puncture should be avoided or alternate measures of embolic protection instituted before the trans-septal puncture is attempted. Possible embolic materials include the plastic particles of the inner wall of the transseptal dilator and a core of cardiac tissue into the tip of the septal puncture needle. This is in addition to the omnipresent challenge of excluding air, as mentioned above. Possible measures to prevent scraping of plastic particles include:

i. Allowing the needle to rotate within the dilator when inserting the needle;
ii. Using needles with larger radii of curvature to avoid interaction with the walls of the dilator;
iii. Assembling the needle and dilator outside the body and then introducing the combination into the long sheath;
iv. Repeated insertion and withdrawal of the needle from the dilator outside the body to dislodge possible particles before introduction into the circulation.

*It is important to keep the sheath flushed when assembling the needle-dilator combination outside the body to avoid any thrombus formation in the interim. Once entry into the left atrium is made, an ACT should be checked to ensure adequate anticoagulation and care should be taken henceforth to keep it above therapeutic levels.

Intraprocedural cardioversion. Cardioversion within a procedure has been shown to be associated with increased risks of SCL/SCE formation. This seems intuitive as repeated cardioversion during procedures may provide additional chances for dislodgement of emboli to the brain. In addition, it seems logical to associate the longer length procedures and increased ablation of tissue, for example with scar homogenization and CAFE® ablation with creation of lines, as additional substrate to increase the risk for SCL/SCE formation during ablation procedures.

Ablation technology. Sources of thromboembolism during ablation include char, steam pops, gaseous bubbles from
ablation and cavitation phenomena. In addition, the modality of energy used to ablate also determines the chances of thromboembolism. Cryoenergy is thought to be less than irrigated RF energy < non-irrigated RF energy < multielectrode non-irrigated RF energy (PVAC catheters) with respect to the possibility of thromboembolism and rate of SCLs/SCEs during ablation (Figure 5). It is of utmost importance to use a combination of electrogram characteristic modifications such as impedance fall and direct imaging using ICE to monitor lesion formation. It is important to highlight that close temperature monitoring, when using irrigated catheters, is of no use. However, any unexpected rise in the temperature should be viewed with caution as it may suggest some form of blockage in the irrigation ports. In addition, adequate catheter–tissue contact may cause the formation of char at the interface despite irrigation; something the operator must be aware of while ablating. It is also important to realize that ablation itself is a thrombogenic process with the lesions exposing subendothelial collagen which can serve as nidus for thrombus formation. Furthermore, activation of platelets and the coagulation cascade by the delivery of RF or cryoenergy can induce a prothrombotic state.

Radiofrequency versus cryoablation. The incidence of SCLs/SCEs has been studied when different types of energy sources were used for AF thermal ablation. Cryoablation and irrigated RFA were found to have similar incidence of SCLs/SCEs in several studies Neumann et al., employed a prospective study design and used T1 and T2 sequences, and along with FLAIR and diffusion-weighted MRI to detect SCLs/SCEs before and after atrial AF ablation. This study found no statistically significant difference in incidence of SCLs/SCEs between cryoablation (8.9%) versus RFA (6.8%). Similar results were observed in a study by Siklody et al. (4.3% versus 7.4%) and Gaita et al. (5.6% and 8.3%, p = 0.5). Multi-electrode phased RF pulmonary vein ablation catheter (PVAC) was associated with increased incidence of SCLs/SCEs when compared to either cryoablation or irrigated RFA.

Impact of open versus closed catheter types. Yokoyama et al. compared ablation lesions between closed loop and open irrigation catheters in canine models and found lower interface temperature, thrombus, and steam pop, especially in low blood flow settings when using open irrigation catheters. This suggested better interface cooling with this technique. Stabile et al. also reported a very low complication rate of permanent sequelae in patients undergoing AF ablation with open irrigation catheters, thus making them a better choice for reducing the impact of neurological consequences during AF ablation.

Takami et al. noted that during irrigated RF catheter ablation, formation of microbubbles was the greatest during fast saline/contrast injections and steam pops,

Figure 5: Different SCE rates comparing different ablation technologies using the same MRI sequences and definitions. Relevant reduction for all rates when using periprocedural continued effective oral anticoagulants (warfarin or novel oral anticoagulation agents). SCE: silent cerebral event; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging. Reproduced with permission from the Journal of Cardiovascular Electrophysiology.
whereas high-power RF applications drag ablations, and steam pops were more associated with microparticle production. Both microbubbles and microparticles have both been known to increase the risk of MRI-detected SCLs/SCEs. Nguyen et al. reported an increased incidence of steam pops with high-power open irrigated catheters using 5% dextrose in water in a perpendicular position compared to other forms of catheter irrigation adding to the data that closed-loop catheters are likely associated with an increased risk of SCLs/SCEs incidence. The use of open irrigated RF catheters also provide a proven advantage of lower complication rates and fewer neurological consequences compared with closed loop design but the operator needs to be wary of the risks of microbubble and microparticle formation with the use of these catheters.

Non-thermal ablation
Irreversible electroporation. Electroporation involves the use of an external electric field to increase the permeability of the cell plasma membrane [108-110] irreversibly. Electroporation (IRE) has been used as a non-thermal means for tissue destruction [108-111]. This direct current-based approach has been tested via novel catheters in animal models. This has been used for ablation in pulmonary veins, epicardium, and autonomic ganglia. [115,116] Being a non-thermal form of ablation, IRE has promise for eliminating the inherent risks of thromboembolic formation. Undoubtedly, there is much innovation that is ongoing and much more remains to be performed prior to implementation in humans. There is a strong need for innovation of electroporation and development of catheter design for adequate endocardial ablation achievement and demonstration of safety as well as the assessment of SCLs/SCEs during ablation. Novel focused shock wave catheter ablation. Focused shock wave (SW) therapy can create lesions at arbitrary depths without heat generation. Shock wave catheter ablation (SWCA), like electroporation, can theoretically minimize the risk of thrombus formation as a result of tissue coagulation and Joule heat. Hasebe et al. developed a novel SWCA system that could cause persistent myocardial lesions associated with minimal surface injury and no fatal adverse effects in pigs. In addition, SW therapy being a non-thermal energy may also avoid steam pops that are associated with RF energy and thereby decrease the risk of thrombogenic and embolism. There is further research required prior to safe employment in clinical use, especially assessment of SCLs/SCEs.

Laser balloon-based pulmonary vein isolation
Dukkipatti et al. reported similar efficacy with visually guided laser ablation catheter to RFA and no instances of stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA) in patients undergoing pulmonary vein isolation. Two separate prospective studies comparing the laser balloon technology to irrigated RF and cryoballoon based pulmonary vein isolation reported no significant difference in the incidence of new SCLs/SCEs between these technologies. However, the number of energy applications was found to be an independent predictor of SCLs/SCEs and the incidence of steam pop and thrombus formation are known to increase with catheter contact force. Innovations focused on newer balloon designs that improves tissue contact and reduce contact force may in fact reduce the risk of new SCLs/SCEs during ablation.

Post-procedural measures
Initiation of anticoagulation. The guidelines have recommend warfarin for a period of 2 months post ablation, irrespective of the individual risk factors after AF ablation. A variable degree of atrial stunning is noted after the conversion to sinus rhythm. The longer the heart has been in tachycardia, the more the post-conversion stunning. This is not attributable to the RF energy delivered, instead is a consequence of the duration of the arrhythmia pre-conversion to sinus rhythm. In addition, there is also endothelial damage from the ablation that is thrombogenic.

Studies suggests that post ablation for AF, ischemic strokes most often occur within 2 weeks of the ablation procedure. At our institution, the following protocol is followed:

i in patients on uninterrupted warfarin therapy,
   (a) if INR is adequate (>2): we continue therapy with home dose of warfarin;
   (b) if INR is inadequate (<2): we increase the dose of warfarin that night and adjust total dosage to ensure adequate anticoagulation;
ii in patients on therapy with NOAC,
   (a) we bridge with unfractionated heparin (UFH) and restart the NOAC the next morning after confirmation of adequate hemostasis;
iii in patients naïve to either warfarin or NOAC,
   (a) we start therapy with UFH 4 h after sheath removal and transition to low molecular weight heparin and warfarin the next morning;
   (b) if a NOAC is to start, the UFH is discontinued the next morning after an initial dose of the NOAC.

Restarting dabigatran at 3 h after the procedure was attempted in a study, where it caused higher bleeding complications than interrupted warfarin therapy.

Continuation of anticoagulation
Guidelines recommend a period of 2 months of anticoagulation. However, the decision to continue anticoagulation beyond 2 months is left to the discretion of the physician if there is no need for persistent anticoagulation (as in mechanical valves). Studies in AF have shown benefit in continuing anticoagulation indefinitely in patients having higher CHADS2 scores.

Future directions
It is imperative that a clinically validated protocol for the omission and reinitiation of anticoagulants before, during, and after the ablation procedure be established to minimize the risk of SCLs/SCEs and stroke/TIA. A uniform way of defining and characterizing these lesions and correlating them to meaningful clinical outcomes should be established to be able to accurately assess this risk and quantify the neuro-psychological implications of SCLs/SCEs in.
future prospective randomized clinical trials. The imaging protocols to determine the appropriate timing for evaluating SCLs/SCEs should also be defined as some of these lesions are known to disappear with time. The role of real-time monitoring and intervention based on markers of SCLs/SCEs formation and its influence on subsequent better clinical outcomes may also need to be proven beyond doubt. Further research and innovations to improve existing catheter designs for non-thermal energy sources such as electroporation, SWCA may in fact allow a safer ablation with lower risk of thromboembolism (including SCLs/SCEs).
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